



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,264	03/17/2004	Warren M. Farnworth	MI22-2524	5382
21567	7590	03/01/2005	EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/803,264	FARNWORTH ET AL.
	Examiner Russell M. Kobert	Art Unit 2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Russell M. Kobert. (3) _____.

(2) D. Brent Kenady. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 31 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leedy (5323035).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative, Mr. Kenady, contacted the Examiner to discuss the rejections noted in the Office Action of November 29, 2004. Mr. Kenady pointed out that the "test for indefiniteness" had not been satisfied under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Mr. Kenady also pointed out that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 had been made on the same set of claims thus supporting the argument that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph was improper. Mr. Kenady also noted that an amendment addressing this issue would be forthcoming. This amendment would also address the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph of claim 32, by amending the claim to emphasize that the plurality of projecting apexes, comprising linear portions are arranged in an interconnecting structure, that lack terminal ends. Mr. Kenady was informed that the Amendment would be taken into consideration.